

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bret 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | . FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/823,422 | 10/823,422 04/13/2004 | | Ulrich Dajek | PO-8019/LeA 36,612 | 4028 |
| 34947 | 7590 | 03/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LANXES | | | ORTIZ, ANGELA Y | | |
| 111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | _ | | | 1732 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | m/ | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/823,422 | DAJEK ET AL. | , | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Angela Ortiz | 1732 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | · | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap | oril 2004. | | : | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | merits is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | : | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | • • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | · · | ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | .i | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | e d. | : | | | |
| .• | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | · 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | : | | | |
| 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/4 and 9/14/04. | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | -152) | | | |
| C Polost and Tarlows LOW | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/823,422

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rink, USP 5,842,265 (of record).

The cited reference teaches the claimed method of manufacturing a composite article comprising providing two sheets 4,5 within a mold 1, and closing mold 1 within aligned openings 6 and 9 of sheets 4,5 such that the edges of the openings are bent or deformed by the mold. Plastic is injected and is fixedly attached to the edges. See col. 2. lines 45-60.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/823,422

Art Unit: 1732

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3, 4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rink, USP 5,842,265 (of record).

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method of manufacturing a composite article comprising providing two sheets 4,5 within a mold 1, and closing mold 1 within aligned openings 6 and 9 of sheets 4,5 such that the edges of the openings are bent or deformed by the mold. Plastic is injected and is fixedly attached to the edges. See col. 2, lines 45-60.

The cited primary reference does not teach the edges having a bead configuration or a tab as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so form the edges in any number of conventional configurations as such is well within the level of ordinary skill in the art and would result in an equivalent sheet material. Note that figures 2 and 3 depict the metal sheets 4,5 as overlapping and abutting, with one peripheral section extending past the other. It would have further been obvious to so include tab portions for forming an interlocking fit with the injected plastic material.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP's 3591996; 3890679; 4076790; 4956139; 5049336; 5197935; 5541694; 5558828.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732